

# On Some “Collateral” Effects in the Alpha-convex Theory

A. V. Kazantsev\*

(Submitted by A. M. Elizarov)

Kazan (Volga Region) Federal University, ul. Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, 420008 Russia

Received June 5, 2018

**Abstract**—Some effects in the  $\alpha$ -convex theory of the univalent functions are discussed in the light of the uniqueness problem for the critical point of the conformal radius.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S199508021809041X

Keywords and phrases: *Conformal radius, hyperbolic derivative,  $\alpha$ -convex function, best dominant.*

0. The class of  $\alpha$ -convex functions  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$  is defined for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  as the preimage

$$\mathfrak{M}_\alpha = J_\alpha^{-1}(C) \quad (1)$$

of the Caratheodory class  $C$  by means of the operator  $J_\alpha = J_\alpha(f, \zeta) = p(\zeta) + \alpha \zeta p'(\zeta)/p(\zeta)$ ,  $p(\zeta) = \zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)$ , acting on the family  $\mathcal{LS}$  of all holomorphic functions  $f(\zeta) = \zeta + a_2 \zeta^2 + a_3 \zeta^3 + \dots$  in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(\zeta)f'(\zeta)/\zeta \neq 0$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ . The seminal result of the  $\alpha$ -theory [1], namely, the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{M}_\alpha \subset S^* \quad (\text{the class of all starlike } f \text{ in } \mathbb{D} \text{ with } f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0), \quad (2)$$

have generated the series of works generalizing (2) both in parametrical (see [2–4]) and in functional (e.g., [5, 6]) directions.

1. If we write (2) in the working form

$$J_\alpha(f, \zeta) = \frac{1 + \varphi}{1 - \varphi}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad f \in S^*, \quad (2')$$

then the illusion can arise that the Schwarz lemma function  $\varphi$  gains the status of the “controlling parameter” for  $f$  to be in the class  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ . But really this is not the case: if  $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$ , then

$$J_\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{1 - \zeta^2}\right) \notin \mathfrak{M}_\alpha.$$

Indeed, if  $\alpha \neq -1/2$ , then

$$J_\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{1 - \zeta^2}\right) = \zeta + \frac{1}{2\alpha + 1}\zeta^3 + \dots \equiv f_\alpha,$$

whence  $|a_3| = |2\alpha + 1|^{-1} > 1$ , i.e.  $|\{f_\alpha, 0\}| > 6$ , where  $\{f, \zeta\} = (f''/f')'(\zeta) - (f''/f')^2(\zeta)/2$ , and the well-known Kraus–Nehari theorem implies  $f_\alpha \notin \mathfrak{M}_\alpha$  (by the use of (2)). In the case  $\alpha = -1/2$ , moreover, the formal expression of  $f_\alpha$  contains  $\ln \zeta$ !

The “solution” of this “phenomenon” is find in more pedantry form of (1),  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha = J_\alpha^{-1}(C) \cap \mathcal{LS}$ : we must keep in mind the domain of definition  $\mathcal{LS}$  of the operator  $J_\alpha$ . Nevertheless, this “detective” poses the following

**Open problem.** *Describe  $J_\alpha(\mathfrak{M}_\alpha)$  in  $C$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find the set of  $\alpha$ ’s such that  $J_\alpha(\mathfrak{M}_\alpha) = C$ .*

The expression of  $J_\alpha$  in terms of  $\varphi$  (see (2')) presents a some way for the “morphogenesis” of such a description. If we have  $\varphi(\zeta) = c\zeta^2 + \dots$  in (2'), then  $a_2 = 0$  and  $(2\alpha + 1)a_3 = c$ . When  $\alpha = -1/2$ , this

\*E-mail: avkazantsev63@gmail.com